

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/633,336	08/04/2000	Hiroshi Ueda	Q60276	2028
7	7590 02/26/2003			
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			MAKI, STEVEN D	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	_	_ A
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
Advisory Acti n	09/633,336	UEDA ET AL.
Advisory Acti II	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven D. Maki	1733
Th MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondenc address
THE REPLY FILED 03 February 2003 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is requirinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be econdition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	red to avoid abandonment of th ither: (1) a timely filed amendm if Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in
PERIOD F	OR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the separate of the sep	re later than SIX MONTHS from the mail LY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH). The date on which the petition under 3 d of extension and the corresponding among shortened statutory period for reply origin	ing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee out of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Apparent of the state of th		
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be en		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require		search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see		,
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the appli issues for appeal; and/or	•	by materially reducing or simplifying th
(d) they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	_ would be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amendmen
5.⊠ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ⊠ req application in condition for allowance beca		
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consideraised by the Examiner in the final rejection		SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended cl		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as f	follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-17 and 19.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	 .	
B. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S	statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)
0. Other:		STEVEN D. MAKI 2-25-0 PRIMARY EXAMINER - GROUP 1300
		Av 1733

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/633,336

Continuation of 2. NOTE: new issues: In claim 1, adding "that is different from the shape of said lug groove ribs" and adding "said triangular shape preventing interference and pr ssing of the lug groove ribs with and against the tread surface of the green tire, while ensuring smooth insertion of the lug groove ribs into the carved grooves, respectively, when the green tire is being introduced into the mold". In claim 17, adding "the carved groove has a shape that is different from the shape of the lug groove rib"..